

Q12 Additional Comments and Name (not required)

Answered: 41 Skipped: 153

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Limit government overreach by allowing citizens to do as they so desire with their own property.	2/8/2018 4:22 PM
2	we believe that each situation requires individual attention and consideration. for Example: an addition off the back of a property that cannot be seen may not be as important as an addition in a very visible location.	1/29/2018 6:36 PM
3	The public needs a new lesson in all of this.	1/26/2018 2:40 PM
4	Beaver is beautiful and special. The removal of the old Sheetz in town and development of the new shops there is the ideal situation for our town. Great businesses loved by this town and brings people here. Still small and special, just like Beaver. There is a lot of pride in this town. Social Media management is a huge resource with today's hyper-connected population. I would encourage the town to have a social media manager working to promote their interests and campaigns. Tracy Ward ward.tlp@gmail.com	1/26/2018 12:47 PM
5	Our historical legacy helps drive our local economy. This merits our significant attention and we should pursue it's potential. Chuck Copeland	1/26/2018 11:09 AM
6	I love historic architecture and streetscapes. However, not all Beaver homeowners have funds to renovate/restore. That's why I'm not in favor of mandatory ordinances for homeowners. But for new businesses coming in, it could be a good idea. Parking lots should be behind the main street businesses as is done in Sewickley, and new buildings should fit with the character of the surrounding ones.	1/23/2018 10:15 PM
7	Regulations regarding demolition of old houses does not seem to be enforced uniformly. The brick house in 1300 block of Third Street was in good condition however, council allowed it to be demolished!!!!	1/23/2018 2:18 PM
8	Notraven	1/21/2018 8:03 PM
9	If Beaver was so worried about their "Historic District" (which covers nearly the entire town), why did they cut down so many of the trees in the 4 central parks, allow the Trinity building to be built on 3rd street, or allow the construction of the architecturally out-of-place houses by the firehouse (which were built on the property of a demolished "historic building")? I found many of the suggestions in this survey to reek of elitism and government overreach. There is only a certain group who can afford to pay for historically accurate accessories and repairs, and it frankly is not the borough's job to be controlling private properties. If passed, at what point would reviewing exterior changes stop? At alteration of the structure? Tree removal or planting? Which flowers you can plant? Additionally, the brick streets and sidewalks are a nightmare to walk on. I know many people who walk on the road instead of tripping on the heaving bricks. The two things that would improve the livability of Beaver (aside from not being next to the Shell plant), would be planting native trees and enforcing property maintenance, particularly snow removal. Instead of planting exotic or greenhouse species, we should be planting native trees, especially those that serve as host plants for butterflies and moths, our native pollinators that are disappearing.	1/21/2018 5:36 PM
10	Greg Powell	1/21/2018 2:57 PM
11	It isn't so simple as demolition vs. no demolition. Some buildings are way too expensive to preserve and without demolition would simply set empty as an eye sore, eg. house on corner of Second street and Beaver	1/21/2018 7:51 AM
12	Good effort on the part of the Borough in a most difficult area	1/20/2018 10:45 PM
13	No real clear on what is trying to be decided here. This is poorly communicated between commercial and residential interests.	1/20/2018 5:49 PM

14	I feel very strongly about the preservation of historic architecture and landmarks, however also believe staunchly in private property ownership and the freedom that goes with that. I would encourage education, consultation, resources and guidelines...and am fine with the demolition ordinance. I do think it's worth noting that our Main Street has had the blessing and the curse of thriving consistently over the decades. With that, brought modernization and "improvements of the 50's-70's, mostly...and much of the true historic charm of the turn of the century storefronts was lost. It's still a wonderful business district and I do hope that what is left is preserved, but there I very little original at this point. Beth Foster	1/20/2018 4:11 PM
15	Good Luck!!	1/20/2018 2:45 PM
16	Let's not have these ordinances become onerous and force people to spend more funds than they can afford. Reasonable guidelines should be exercised!	1/19/2018 12:43 PM
17	Sometimes when you set limits that is all you will get and no more. Just asking to be proud can be more encouraging	1/18/2018 10:11 PM
18	It's a little too late at this point. But the Roberts home on Second Street is a monstrosity! A total eyesore! How did that design ever get approved through the Borough?!	1/18/2018 11:38 AM
19	Leave lights on 3rd street trees year-round. Enforce "no bicycles on 3rd street."	1/18/2018 11:15 AM
20	Historical preservation is important, as long as it doesn't become hysterical preservation because of the kind of people in Greenpeace and PETA.	1/17/2018 9:27 PM
21	More shade trees; Buffalo St almost bare?? Plus 1000 blk 2nd	1/17/2018 6:26 PM
22	historic preservation should be completely voluntary	1/17/2018 1:25 PM
23	Beaver can both maintain its historic quality and benefit from economic growth.	1/17/2018 12:58 PM
24	Parks should be fenced off for dogs instead of use of the whole park. There are too many people that use the parks and are subject to the disgusting urine and fecal matter of the dogs.	1/17/2018 12:37 PM
25	Paul	1/17/2018 11:40 AM
26	Concern abt historic properties is important, but less important than poor property maintenance (eg corner of 4th & Dravo) and too many rentals.	1/17/2018 8:10 AM
27	Code enforcement is an absolute joke. I have been complaining for literally years and nothing is ever done. The amount of trees cut down on River Road was criminal. Also cutting down all of the evergreen trees in the park was also criminal. The amount of trees being cut down in this town is terrible. New construction is absurd. Such eyesores. Historic preservation is sorely needed, but just like code enforcement it will be different rules for those with connections. The code enforcement officers should be embarrassed to take a salary because they are not doing their job. The Tree Commission is also a joke.	1/16/2018 10:56 PM
28	It appears that Beaver is more concerned with the physical appearance of this town instead of the air quality we will face with the operation of Shell. The money Shell is offering to local groups is nothing short of hush money. Use the grant money to provide residents with gas masks so we can shop in town while enjoying the historical beauty preserved by council.	1/16/2018 8:29 PM
29	Shell will do anything to ingratiate themselves to this region as they rape the countryside we face and pollute our area. Don't be surprised if you see an increase in disease process in residents.	1/16/2018 8:22 PM
30	None. Good shopping list.	1/16/2018 7:21 PM
31	William Russell	1/16/2018 7:15 PM
32	I wouldn't live anywhere else. I hope the Shell plant doesn't harm Beaver. I do believe landlords need to be held accountable for their properties. The rental props are ridiculous! Garbage, etc...	1/16/2018 6:26 PM
33	I am not too worried about the shell planned. I am more worried about people snapping up properties to use other rentals. Making money is not always conducive to keeping a town nice. Rentals are usually dumps.	1/16/2018 6:06 PM
34	Shouldn't be able to build new homes that look nothing like the the rest of the town, like that monstrosity on 2nd (?). The one where a former school was. Too big, ugly, pretentious, everyone hates it.	1/16/2018 6:04 PM

35	Not only is the preservation and maintenance of private properties important in keeping the quaint, historic appearance of our town up to par but the maintenance of our roads, sewer grates, sidewalks, etc is unacceptable and does not hold up to historic standards of appearance. Many borough provided maintenances are of very poor and arguably dangerous condition. With full time employees this should not be an issue if properly trained and educated.	1/16/2018 5:34 PM
36	Need a real tree replacement program.	1/16/2018 5:22 PM
37	When approached properly, historic preservation can be compatible with managed commercial growth.	1/16/2018 5:19 PM
38	Thanks	1/16/2018 5:05 PM
39	Sad day when Ft. MAC was torn down to put an eye sore of multiple houses on that property! The huge house takes away what Beaver is all about! That house should have been built where there is more land. Saddened that Beaver permitted that & tore down a historic building! Money talks loudly in this town!	1/16/2018 5:00 PM
40	New commercial property is not a threat as long as they conform to the historic design like the Trinity Building on Third. Parking lots would reduce car clutter everywhere and congestion which hinders seeing the beauty of Main Street etc. as well as encourage more patrons with easier parking options.	1/16/2018 4:49 PM
41	Bob Wise (Test)	12/29/2017 9:55 AM